

*Research Article***Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy versus laparoscopic mini-gastric bypass in obesity**

**Tantawi A. Mohamed, Salah Abdel- Razik, Ayman M. Hassanien, Tohamy A. Tohamy, Ashraf Abdel Azeem and Mohamed M. Taha Zaazou**

\* Department of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine , El Minia University Hospital, Egypt

\*\*Department of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Misr University for Science and Technology; Egypt

**Abstract**

**Background:** Laparoscopic mini-gastric bypass (MGBP) is gaining popularity among the bariatric procedures today, and laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (SG) as a single-stage procedure for the treatment of morbid obesity is becoming increasingly popular. **Patients and methods:** Between October 2014 and July 2018, 100 obese patients were randomized, operated upon, and followed up for 24 months in Al Minia University Hospital. A total of 50 patients underwent SG, and 50 patients underwent MGBP. The mean BMI of all patients was  $47.8 \pm 5.5$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, their mean age was  $30 \pm 8.3$  years, and 80% of them were female. Patients were followed up at 1, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21 and 24 months. **Results:** Age, sex, BMI, and comorbidities were equal. The mean operative time for SG was  $86.9 \pm 51.6$  min and that for MGBP was  $108.4 \pm 41.8$  min; the percentage of 1-year excess weight loss was similar ( $76.2 \pm 4.49\%$  for SG and  $80.3 \pm 8.3\%$  for MGBP). The comorbidities were significantly improved after both procedures, except for type 2 diabetes mellitus, which showed a higher resolution rate after MGBP. **Conclusion:** Laparoscopic SG regarding excess weight loss is comparable to laparoscopic MGBP in short-term follow-up (2 year) with less metabolic effect. Further long-term studies are needed.

**Keywords:** comorbidities, diabetes mellitus, mini-gastric bypass,

**Introduction**

Obesity is a major health burden worldwide, and although it was considered a disease of the western world, it seems to have expanded to the developing world<sup>[1]</sup>. Significant obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is present in 40% of obese persons and venous thromboembolism in 12%<sup>[2]</sup>. More than 70% of patients with sleep apnea present with obesity<sup>[3]</sup>. Conservative measures, such as dieting and physical exercise, have proven inadequate<sup>[4]</sup>. Depending on the type of operation, gastrointestinal surgery is also very effective in the resolution of diabetes<sup>[5]</sup>. Traditionally, the primary mechanisms through which bariatric surgery achieves its outcomes are believed to be the mechanical restriction of food intake, reduction in the absorption of ingested foods, or a combination of both<sup>[6]</sup>. Furthermore, little is known regarding the effect of the various surgical procedures on glycemic control and T2DM remission<sup>[7]</sup>. SG was first described in 1999 as part of the biliopancreatic diversion duodenal switch procedure. Subsequently, LSG has been

performed as a standalone procedure<sup>[8]</sup>. Although these procedures have proven to be good therapeutic options for some patients such as gastric leaks, which pose a particularly difficult challenge when they occur near the angle of His, potentially generating severe clinical conditions that require reoperation, and may even cause death<sup>[9]</sup>. Mini-gastric bypass (MGBP), first reported by Rutledge, was proposed as a simple and effective treatment of morbid obesity. MGBP is a modification of the Mason's loop gastric bypass, with weight loss results similar to laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (LRYGB)<sup>[10]</sup>, which was the most favored bariatric procedure in America.<sup>[11]</sup> However, controversies about the relative safety of this procedure remain, mainly the incidence of marginal ulcer and reflux esophagitis<sup>[12]</sup>.

**Patients and methods**

It was done from October 2015 to July 2018. All patients were evaluated preoperatively (full examination, obesity and its comorbidities).

Patients were chosen and divided randomly into two groups in accordance to their admission to the study: the first group underwent LSG, whereas the second group underwent laparoscopic MGBP. All patients were evaluated regarding operative time, postoperative recovery, complications, resolution of comorbidities, and percent excess weight loss (%EWL). Results were recorded intraoperatively, early postoperatively, and at 1 - month, 3 - month, 6 - month, 9 month, 12 month, 15 month, 18 month, 21 month, and 24 month intervals. Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients to be included in this study.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were collected in tables and then analyzed with respect to  $\chi^2$  and *P* value. Data were fed to the computer and analyzed using IBM SPSS software package version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA). *P* values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

**Results**

MGB. Overall, three patients with T2DM had complete and three had partial resolution in

SG, whereas nine patients had complete and two partial resolution in MGB; three patients had complete and two partial resolution regarding hypertension in SG and five complete and three partial resolution in MGB; dyslipidemia remission was seen in four patients and improved in two patients in SG and seven patients had remission and one improved in MGB. There was resolution of osteoarthritis, OSA, and polycystic ovary in all patients in both groups. Bleeding was seen in three cases in SG, where two of them were managed conservatively and one needed exploration, and only one case in MGB, which was managed conservative. Wound infection was recorded in one case in SG and in two cases in MGB. Postoperative nausea and vomiting was seen in five cases in SG and in two cases in MGB; all were managed with antiemetics. Moreover, four cases had port site hernia in SG and two in MGB. Symptomatic cholelithiasis was obvious in both groups; in SG, eleven patients were managed conservative and three patients needed surgery, whereas in MGB, six patients were managed conservatively and one needed surgery.

**Table (1): Comparison between the two groups according to operative time and postoperative recovery (days)**

Operative time and postoperative recovery (days)	Sleeve gastrectomy (n=25)	Mini-gastric bypass (n=25)	<i>t</i>	<i>P</i>
<b>Operative time (min)</b>				
Minimum - maximum	39.0-95.0	55.0-93.0	1.479	0.146
Mean±SD	68.0±12.56	73.0±11.32		
<b>Begin oral liquids (days)</b>				
Minimum - maximum	0.5-2.6	0.5-2.5	1.111	0.272
Mean±SD	1.23±0.59	1.0±0.85		
<b>Duration of analgesic (days)</b>				
Minimum - maximum	3.0-10.0	3.0-9.0	0.602	0.550
Mean±SD	5.67±2.53	5.27±2.15		
<b>Hospital stay (days)</b>				
Minimum - maximum	2.0-6.0	3.0-7.0	3.777*	<0.001*
Mean±SD	2.27±0.46	3.0±0.85		
<b>Return to daily activities (days)</b>				
Minimum - maximum	4.0-12.0	5.0-9.0	3.500*	<0.001*
Mean±SD	4.07±1.44	5.87±2.13		
<b>Return to work (days)</b>				
Minimum - maximum	10.0-16.0	11.0-18.0	0.852	0.398
Mean±SD	11.53±1.68	13.07±8.88		

*P*, *P* value for comparing between the two groups. \**P*≤0.05, statistically significant.

Table (2): Comparison between the two groups according to percent excess weight loss

Percent excess weight loss	Sleeve gastrectomy (n=25)	Mini-gastric bypass (n=25)	<i>t</i>	<i>P</i>
<b>1 month</b>				
Minimum-maximum	23.9644.5	12.5-28.6	6.460*	<0.001*
Mean±SD	32.05±5.96	22.13±4.84		
<b>3 months</b>				
Minimum-maximum	33.5-66.7	24.0-48.8	6.845*	<0.001*
Mean±SD	54.05±8.2	39.75±6.47		
<b>6 months</b>				
Minimum-maximum	51.5-80.5	32.8-70.8	2.073	0.054
Mean±SD	67.6±6.45	63.32±8.06		
<b>12 months</b>				
Minimum-maximum	66.7-87.0	45.6-87.8	2.070	0.054
Mean±SD	76.22±4.49	80.31±8.8		

*P*, *P* value for comparing between the two groups. \* $P \leq 0.05$ , statistically significant.

## Discussion

A systematic analysis with pooled data from 19 prospective studies adjusted for age, study, physical activity, alcohol consumption, education, and marital status, over 160 000 deaths, showed that overall for men and women combined, for every five unit increase in BMI, a 31% increase in risk of death was observed [13-14].

There is strong published evidence that bariatric surgery is the only effective means to sustain long-term weight loss, and this weight loss is also associated with the resolution of obesity-related comorbid conditions, which increase the risk of mortality associated with obesity [15]. In our study, there were 19(38%) patients with T2DM 8 in SG and 11 in MGB, 14(28%) patients with hypertension six in SG and eight in MGB, and 14(28%) patients with dyslipidemia, with six in SG and eight in MGB.

Plamper et al., [16] described in their study comparing SG with MGBP that both groups were comparable for age, preoperative weight, and BMI as well as the distribution of the associated comorbidities.

In our study, there were 19(38%) patients with T2DM, eight in SG and 11 in MGB; 14(28%) patients with hypertension, six in SG and eight in MGB; 14(28%) patients with dyslipidemia, six in SG and eight in MGB; seven (28%)

patients with OSA, three in SG and four in MGB; 13(52%) patients with osteoarthritis, seven in SG and six in MGB; three (12%) patients with PCO, one in SG and two in MGB.

Weight loss is reported in many different ways. Sczepaniak et al., evaluated the weight loss has been reported as absolute weight loss, percentage of total weight loss, %EWL, percentage of excess BMI loss, and percentage of patients with successful weight loss [17].

Boza et al., [18] have reported excellent results of 1000 consecutive LSG procedures with a mean EWL of 84.5% at 3-year follow-up and with minimal weight regain after the first post-operative year. In our study, mean % EWL was 32.05% at 1 month, 45.05% at 3 months, 67.6% at 6 months, and 76.22% at 12 months in SG and was 22.13% at 1 month, 39.75% at 3 months, 63.32% at 6 months, and 80.31% at 12 months in MGB.

Laparoscopic MGBP in morbidly obese patients with T2DM has been proved to be effective in prospective randomized controlled trials [19], and in extensive reports in the literature [20], Lee et al., [21] have suggested that the efficacy of T2DM remission was similar regardless of BMI, and they recommend that more free use of gastric bypass should be considered in Asian patients with T2DM. We

found three patients with T2DM had complete and three had partial resolution in SG whereas nine had complete and two had partial resolution in MGB; three patients had complete and two had partial resolution in hypertension in SG, whereas five had complete and three had partial resolution in MGB; dyslipidemia remission was seen in four patients and improvement in two patients in SG and seven patients with remission and one with improvement in MGB; and resolution of osteoarthritis, OSA, and polycystic ovary in all patients in both groups.

The primary risk factor for T2DM is obesity, and 90% of all patients with type 2 diabetes are either overweight or obese<sup>[22]</sup>. Gill et al.,<sup>[23]</sup> found that SG results in T2DM resolution ranging from 80% to 96% in morbidly obese subjects. Laparoscopic MGBP in morbidly obese patients with T2DM has been shown to be effective<sup>[23]</sup>. Schauer et al.,<sup>[24]</sup> recently demonstrated the superiority of the RYGB over a SG for the morbidly obese patients with remission of T2DM at 3 years.

Obesity is associated with an increased risk of GERD, with up to 50% of morbidly obese patients suffering from this condition. Prachand and Alverdy also concluded that the incidence of GERD seems to be more frequent after LSG<sup>[25]</sup>. There is no doubt that 0.5–1.0% of the patients develop malnutrition requiring surgical correction – reversal or shortening of biliopancreatic limb, or conversion to sleeve – after MGB<sup>[26]</sup>.

In the entire literature, there is only one reported case of Petersen's hernia after MGB<sup>[27]</sup>. No internal hernia was recorded in our study, and only four cases developed symptomatic acid reflux in SG and seven cases developed symptomatic (bile) reflux in MGB. It is believed that MGB results in less dumping and reactive hypoglycemia compared with RYGB. Carbajo et al.,<sup>[28]</sup> did not see any dumping syndrome in their study.

### Conclusion

LSG regarding EWL is comparable to laparoscopic MGBP in short-term follow-up (1 year) with less metabolic effect. Further long-term studies are needed.

### References

1. Tsigos C, Hainer V, Basdevant A, Finer N, Fried M, Mathus - Vliegen E, et al., Management of obesity in adults: European clinical practice guidelines. *Obes Facts* 2008; **1**:106–116.
2. Gruidah HSA, Eldsouky MS, Omran WM, Elhassan AEA. Risk factors for venous thromboembolism. *Menoufia Med J*. 2018; **31**:169.
3. Toghaw P, Matone A, Lenbury Y, de Gaetano A. Bariatric surgery and T2DM improvement mechanisms: a mathematical model. *Theor Biol Med Model* 2012; **9**:16.
4. Blackburn GL, Walker WA. Science - based solutions to obesity: what are the roles of academia, government, industry, and health care? *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005; **82**:207s–210ss.
5. Rubino F, Kaplan LM, Schauer PR, Cummings DE. The Diabetes Surgery Summit consensus conference: recommendations for the evaluation and use of gastrointestinal surgery to treat type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Ann Surg* 2010; **251**: 399–405.
6. DeMaria EJ. Bariatric surgery for morbid obesity. *N Engl J Med*. 2007; **356**:2176–2183.
7. Lee WJ, Chong K, Ser KH, Lee YC, Chen SC, Chen JC, et al., Gastric bypass vs sleeve gastrectomy for type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Surg* 2011; **146**:143–148.
8. Deitel M, Crosby RD, Gagner M. The first international consensus summit for sleeve gastrectomy (SG), New York City, October 25–27, 2007. *Obes Surg* 2008; **18**: 487–496.
9. Nocca D, Frering V, Gallix B, des Hons CD, Noël P, Foulonge MP, et al., Migration of adjustable gastric banding from a cohort study of 4236 patients. *Surg Endosc* 2005; **19**:947–950.
10. Rutledge R. The mini - gastric bypass: experience with the first 1,274 cases. *Obes Surg* 2001; **11**:276–280.
11. Carbajo M, Castro MJ, Kleinfinger S, Gómez - Arenas S, Ortiz - Solórzano J, Wellman R, et al., Effects of a balanced energy and high protein formula diet (Vegestart complet®) vs. low - calorie regular diet in morbid obese patients prior

- to bariatric surgery (laparoscopic single anastomosis gastric bypass): a prospective, double-blind randomized study. *Nutr Hosp* 2010; **25**:939–948.
- Fox SR, MacDonald KG, Greenville N, Mason EE, Iowa City I, Boyd E, et al., Mini-gastric bypass controversy. *Obes Surg* 2001; **11**:773–777.
- Santry HP, Gillen DL, Lauderdale DS. Trends in bariatric surgical procedures. *JAMA* 2005; **294**:1909–1917.
12. Berrington de Gonzalez A, Hartge P, Cerhan JR, Flint AJ, Hannan L, MacInnis RJ, et al., Body-mass index and mortality among 1.46 million white adults. *N Engl J Med*. 2010; **363**(23):2211–2219.
  13. Carlsson LM, Peltonen M, Ahlin S, Anveden Å, Bouchard C, Carlsson B, et al., Bariatric surgery and prevention of type 2 diabetes in Swedish obese subjects. *N Engl J Med* 2012; **367**:695–704.
  14. Plamper A, Lingohr P, Nadal J, Rheinwalt KP. Comparison of mini-gastric bypass with sleeve gastrectomy in a mainly super-obese patient group: first results. *Surg Endosc* 2017; **31**:1156–1162.
  15. Sczepaniak JP, Owens ML, Shukla H, Perlegos J, Garner W Comparability of weight loss reporting after gastric bypass and sleeve gastrectomy using BOLD data 2008–2011. *Obes Surg* 2015; **25**:788–795.
  16. Boza C, Salinas J, Salgado N, Pérez G, Raddatz A, Funke R, et al., Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy as a stand-alone procedure for morbid obesity: report of 1,000 cases and 3-year follow-up. *Obes Surg* 2012; **22**:866–871.
  17. Lee WJ, Ser KH, Lee YC, Tsou JJ, Chen SC, Chen JC. Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y vs. mini-gastric bypass for the treatment of morbid obesity: a 10-year experience. *Obes Surg* 2012; **22**:1827–1834.
  18. Wang W, Wei PL, Lee YC, Huang MT, Chiu CC, Lee WJ. Short-term results of laparoscopic mini-gastric bypass. *Obes Surg* 2005; **15**:648–654.
  19. Lee WJ, Wang W, Lee YC, Huang MT, Ser KH, Chen JC Effect of laparoscopic mini-gastric bypass for type 2 diabetes mellitus: comparison of BMI>35 and<35 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2008; **12**:945–952.
  20. Mokdad AH, Bowman BA, Ford ES, Vinicor F, Marks JS, Koplan JP The continuing epidemics of obesity and diabetes in the United States. *JAMA* 2001; **286**:1195–1200.
  21. Gill RS, Karmali S, Sharma AM. Treating type 2 diabetes mellitus with sleeve gastrectomy in obese patients. *Obesity* 2011; **19**:701–702.
  22. Schauer PR, Bhatt DL, Kirwan JP, Wolski K, Brethauer SA, Navaneethan SD, et al., Bariatric surgery versus intensive medical therapy for diabetes – 3-year outcomes. *N Engl J Med*. 2014; **370**:2002–2013.
  23. Prachand VN, Alverdy JC. Gastroesophageal reflux disease and severe obesity: fundoplication or bariatric surgery ? *World J Gastroenterol* 2010; **16**:3757.
  24. Noun R, Skaff J, Riachi E, Daher R, Antoun NA, Nasr M. One thousand consecutive mini-gastric bypass: short- and long-term outcome. *Obes Surg* 2012; **22**:697–703.
  25. Genser L, Carandina S, Soprani A. Petersen's internal hernia complicating a laparoscopic omega loop gastric bypass. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2015; **11**:e33–e34.
  26. Carbajo M, García-Caballero M, Toledano M, Osorio D, García-Lanza C, Carmona JA One-anastomosis gastric bypass by laparoscopy: results of the first 209 patients. *Obes Surg* 2005; **15**:398–404.