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The superiority of the Three Dimensional Ultrasound over the conventional Two Dimensional Ultrasound as diagnostic modalities in uterine structural anomalies

Abdulrahman Y. Elkashef, Mohamed A. Quodi and Ahmad E. Mahran
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Minia University Maternity Hospital, Minia, Egypt.

Abstract
The aim of this pilot study was to investigate the potential value of three dimensional ultrasound for the evaluation of normal uterine anatomy and the diagnosis of congenital uterine anomalies when compared to the two dimensional ultrasound. Material and Methods: This pilot study was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Minia University Maternity Hospital in Egypt, from April. 2014 to Feb. 2015. A total number of 53 patients were recruited after being suspected to have uterine structural anomalies by the HSG. Patients with primary infertility were 34.98% while patients with secondary infertility were 65.02%.
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Introduction
Uterine malformations are secondary to a failure in development, canalization or fusion of Mullerian ducts. Around the sixth week of the embryogenesis, an invagination of the coelomic lining epithelium forms a depression creating a sulcus, whose borders fuse to form the lateral Mullerian ducts (or paramesonephric ducts). The Mullerian ducts initially are formed in the upper dorsal wall of the coelomic cavity and progress caudally to enter the pelvis where they incline towards the center, fusing medially. Then, the caudal progress results in a contact of these fused ducts with the urogenital sinus. The proximal segments of the uterovaginal canal originated from coelomic epithelium remain unfused and open into the peritoneal cavity to form the Fallopian tubes. The upper portion of the vagina is, therefore, considered to have Mullerian origin, and the lower portion as originating from the urogenital sinus. He whole lining epithelium (uterus and tubes) originates from the coelomic epithelium. This is the reason for uterine malformations being denominated Mullerian malformations or anomalies.

In the past, the uterus only could be clinically evaluated by means of a physical examination. Several methods have been introduced for gynecological evaluation. As an example, we can mention; radiological examinations by means of hysterosalpingography surgical procedures— laparotomy, laparoscopy, and most recently, videolaparoscopy and hysteroscopy. Unquestionably, ultrasound is the method that has brought the greatest contribution as non-invasive method for evaluation of the uterus and its attachments, initially as a two-dimensional (2D) modality with an abdominal approach, and later with a transvaginal approach. Most recently, ultrasonography has been added of the three-dimensional (3D) processing in both modalities — the multilayer and the volumetric ones. Magnetic resonance imaging also has been utilized in many services.

Discussion
2D ultrasound, and 3D ultrasound are crucial diagnostic tools for evaluation of uterine malformations, with high accuracy, most of times more specific than a simple description of a septate uterus, characterizing the abnormality and providing information to assist in the definition of therapeutic regimen and reproductive prognosis.

A better evaluation with 2D ultrasound is achieved by the association between transabdominal and transvaginal approaches. The first one allows a better visualization of the
uterine fundus, and analysis of the bladder and ureteral jets. The second allows a more detailed analysis of the cervix and endometrial cavity. 3D ultrasound showed high sensitivity and specificity 96 and 94 respectively when compared to the 2D ultrasound; 82, 91 respectively.
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